# Lab Three Group Reflection Report

## Introduction:

Our goal in doing these labs is to get better at what we do with every lab. With that in mind I want you as a group to reflect on the process and product that you put together for each lab and think about how you could do it better next time. This report should be short, and not assign blame to any one individual or team, just try to come up with ways that you can do better next time.

# Group Reflection Report:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Group Number: | 4 |
| Group Members: | Nate Bachelder (Formerly Williams), Steffen Barr, Eli Hopkins, Xavier Rivera |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Version/Lab Number: | Lab 3 |
| Date: | 10/15/21 |

## Deliverables:

Group Reflection Reports turned in should meet or touch on the following requirements

* What worked really well for your group on this lab?
* What part of the process do you think you could have done better on?
* Is the process good, or do you feel that it needs to change for the next lab?
* How would you rate the difficulty of the work that the Operations Team did?
* How would you rate the difficulty of the work that the Security team did?
* Was the difficulty conceptual or technical?
* How can I make the process better for our next lab?

You can answer these questions in the form of a short paragraph if you would like.

We enjoy that this lab continued building on the architecture of the others. It feels more rewarding to continue to work on the same architecture throughout the semester versus working on different ones. This lab felt like a better difficulty and length than the last one. The last one felt much harder and longer. Most of the difficulty with this lab we think is technical. Troubleshooting was difficult for parts of this lab but not as much as the last lab. We don’t really have any suggestions for improvement.